

Page Denied

~~SECRET~~

DDA 81-0629/4

6 May 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM: Max Hugel
Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT: Crisis Management

REFERENCES:

- A. Memo for DCI via DDCI fr DDA, dtd 23 Mar 81, Subj: Your Inquiry to Me with Reference to Using a Computer Base Terminal System for Crisis Management at the DCI Level
- B. Memo for DDCI fr D/NFAC, dtd 23 Apr 81, Subj: Support to the DCI During Crises (ER 81-6812/2)
- C. Note for DDA fr IHSA/DDA, dtd 6 May 81, Subj: NFAC Memo to DCI Regarding Support of the DCI During Crisis, dated 23 April 1981

Bobby:

1. I have discussed references A and B (copies attached) with [redacted] 25X1
the Agency Information Handling Systems Architect, and I attach herewith his note 25X1
to me (reference C) in answer to the NFAC memo. [redacted]

2. I think the proposal that we have made has merit and is a good first 25X1
step, at least from the standpoint of developing a prototype to see how this
would work and the functionality of this system. [redacted]

3. Let me hear from you on this. [redacted] 25X1
[redacted] 25X1

Max Hugel

Atts

DDA:MHugel:kmg (6 May 81)

Distribution:

Orig - DDCI w/refs

1 - ER w/ref C

✓ 1 - DDA Subj w/ref C &
refs A & B as bkgd

1 - DDA Chrono

1 - MH Chrono

DOWNGRADE TO UNCLASSIFIED
UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS~~SECRET~~

6 MAY 1981

NOTE FOR: Max Hugel, DDA

FROM: IHSA/DDASUBJECT: NFAC Memo to DCI Regarding Support of the DCI During
Crisis, dated 23 April 1981

Max:

It sounds to me like it is time for us to close the loop with NFAC--if you think this should be pursued--since the ultimate provision of any such functionality is their responsibility.

The only issue that seems to have been addressed in the memo is whether a computer-based system would help the DCI in a crisis situation. NFAC says not in paragraph 1, but it may be based on the presumption that the suggested system was solely for the DCI's use.

The remaining paragraphs talk to what NFAC needs to do its job better--a different subject.

There may be a couple of misunderstandings which I would like to correct, however. First is the possible presumption that this system is for solely for the DCI. Personally, I would view it as the crisis support system for the Agency's senior executives. I do not envision any one person interrogating a terminal in isolation, and then acting for the Agency on the basis of the information presented, again in isolation. I think the indicative menu of contents enclosed with my memo reflects this perspective.

The second is the use of raw data in the system, which was definitely not a presumption of the posited system. NFAC preparation of the input in the context of the Operations Center was postulated and the menu of contents reflected finished intelligence. Since there are all shades of "finished" intelligence, categorizing it is difficult. It is on the level of the President's Daily Brief, however--finished intelligence prepared in the Operations Center.

The enhancement of the NFAC analysis capability that is discussed seems to be keyed to SAFE, but is not clear. My memo posited a prototype system to be put up in six months on the

SUBJECT: NFAC Memo to DCI Regarding Support of the DCI During
Crisis, dated 23 April 1981

Operations Center's minicomputer system. I don't think any large operational system to support senior executive decision-makers should be designed without first prototyping the functionality. That is the only effective way I know of to sort out such questions as the analyses required, the data organization, and the interfaces with policy options being explored elsewhere. A prototype environment permits a design, trial, and modify approach using the feedback of the DCI and other senior executives.

One final comment. On the basis of my limited knowledge, I am inclined to believe that the concept is not worthwhile unless a substantial part of the DDO's data is included. Since I do not believe that the SAFE environment will provide adequate security for this data, I think a standalone system is required, much like the current CMASS system. The security factors would have to be more stringent, however, similar to those for the DDO's IBM 4331 system. Thus, I do not believe the SAFE system is likely to be found to be a proper host for a senior executives information system. SAFE would, of course, provide the analyst support and thus much of the NFAC input data for such a system.

If NFAC wants to pursue this further, I think ODP should be a part to the conversations, since they are likely to have the design responsibility.



25X1